

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUnited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/047,737	01/14/2002	Motti Beyar	687-458	7614
7590 05/16/2005			EXAMINER	
ANDREW S. LANGSAM, ESQ.			BARRETT, THOMAS C	
•	EVISOHN, LERNER, BERGER & LANGSAM 57 THIRD AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2400			3738	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			DATE MAY 2D 061161000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicantis				
Office Action Summary		10/047,737	BEYAR ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas C. Barrett	3738				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE - Extendition - If the - If NO - Failth - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SiX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			·				
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a)□	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Amarka							
Attachmen	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/047,737

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Claims 3 and 12-17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,378,525. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of 6,378,525 are narrower then the claims of the present invention. Even though this application is a divisional of 6,378,525, it still includes the prior patented species (prostate treatment) and the same generic subject matter (method of reducing tissue).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the Invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 8, and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Allen, Jr. (3,901,241). Allen, Jr. discloses the treatment of tonsillar enlargement, prostate enlargement and nasal obstruction (col. 6, line 67- col. 7, line 28) comprising freezing and warming the respective tissues with the same cryoprobe (col. 6, lines 1-28).

Application/Control Number: 10/047,737

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 13-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards et al. (5,514,131) in view of Allen, Jr. (3,901,241). Edwards et al. discloses the treatment of snoring, tonsillar enlargement, and Obstructive Sleep Apnea however Edwards et al. fails to disclose the use of a cryoprobe and heat. Allen, Jr. teaches a tissue ablation method freezing and warming the respective tissues with the same cryoprobe (col. 6, lines 1-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of a tissue ablation method freezing and warming with the same cryoprobe, as taught by Allen, Jr., to a treatment as per Edwards et al., the motivation to combine being that the Allen, Jr. cryosurgical system has improved temperature control in the instrument and uses very simple, inexpensive and disposable equipment (col. 2, lines 15-19).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-4746. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,737 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas Barrett

Examiner

Art Unit: 3738